



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	ATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/675,767	10/675,767 09/30/2003		Kunio Miyazaki	1150.002	6274	
7	7590	05/05/2004		EXAMINER		
Sampson & A 50 Congress St		es, P.C.	SINGH, SUNIL			
Boston, MA				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3673		

DATE MAILED: 05/05/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)	<u> </u>				
Office Action Summer.	10/67	5,767	MIYAZAKI, KUNIO	0				
Office Action Summary	Exami	ner	Art Unit					
	Sunil		3673	F				
The MAILING DATE of this community Period for Reply	nication appears on	the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence add	ress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD I THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN  - Extensions of time may be available under the provision after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty ( - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum s - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for repl Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no imunication. 30) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply ar y will, by statute, cause the	o event, however, may a r statutory minimum of thir d will expire SIX (6) MON application to become AE	eply be timely filed  by (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this constant of the constant of t	nmunication.				
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on							
2a)☐ This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This action i	s non-final.	•					
3) Since this application is in condition								
closed in accordance with the pract								
Disposition of Claims			· .					
4) Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the a	• •							
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>6</u> is/are w	ithdrawn from cons	ideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or electio	n requirement.						
Application Papers	·	,	4					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are		b) objected to	by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any obje		· ·	<del>-</del>					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including				R 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected t								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority	under 25 H.C.C. S	110(a) (d) ar (f)					
a) ⊠ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:	nor loreign phonty	under 33 O.S.C. 9	119(a)-(u) 01 (1).					
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority	, documents have h	yoon roccived						
-			nnlination No					
<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>								
			received in this National S	tage				
application from the Internation  * See the attached detailed Office action			raccived					
See the attached detailed Office action	ווטו מ וואנ טו נוופ CG	eruneu copies not	receivea.	• *				
•								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I		Paper No(s	)/Mail Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/30/03, 4/23/04.	PTO/SB/08)	5)  Notice of Ir 6)  Other:	formal Patent Application (PTO-1	ł <b>52)</b>				
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office		о, <u>С</u> Г Ошег						
PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	Office Action Sum	mary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date	≥ 20040430				

Art Unit: 3673

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

The preliminary amendments filed 9/30/03 and 4/23/04 have been entered.

## Claim Objections

1. Claim 6 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend from another multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claim 6 has not been further treated on the merits.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bell et al. (US 5000616) in view of Yodock, Jr. et al. (US Pub 2003/0185629).

Bell et al. discloses a containment boom comprising a plurality of float units and a coupling portion by which adjacent float units are coupled to one another wherein each of said float unit comprises a float portion (12) having a buoyant force, and a plummet portion (22) positioned under said float portion, said float portion and said plummet portion being adjusted so as to locate a water line to approximate middle position (see Fig. 1) of vertical length of said structure, said coupling portion (30) being flexible (see col. 2 line 30+). Bell et al. discloses the invention substantially as claimed. However, Bell et al. is silent about including a housing. Yodock, Jr. et al. teach a housing (see Figs. 5,6). It would have been considered obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to

Art Unit: 3673

modify Bell et al. to include the housing as taught by Yodock, Jr. et al. since such a modification protects the containment boom from the effects of weathering.

4. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bell et al. in view of Yodock, Jr. et al. as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Tedeschi, Jr. or Memoli (US 3906732, 3958521).

Bell et al. (once modified) discloses the invention substantially as claimed. However, the (once modified) Bell et al. is silent about the coupling having at least one fold.

Tedeschi, Jr. and Memoli both teach coupling having at least one fold (see Fig. 1 and (26)). It would have been considered obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to further modify the (once modified) Bell et al. to include at least one fold in his coupling as taught by either Tedeschi, Jr. or Memoli since such a modification would allow for lateral variation and aid in folding and bending.

5. Claims 3-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bell et al. in view of Yodock, Jr. et al. as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Chou et al. (US 5509756)

Bell et al. (once modified) discloses the invention substantially as claimed. However, the (once modified) Bell et al. is silent about the coupling having a rail member. Chou et al. teaches coupling having a rail member (see Fig. 3(d)). It would have been considered obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to further modify the (once modified) Bell et al. to include the rail coupling as taught by Chou et al. since such a modification would allow for a more effective barrier connection.

Art Unit: 3673

6. Claims 5/1,5/2,5/3-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bell et al. in view of Yodock, Jr. et al./Tedeschi, Jr. or Memoli/Chou et al. as applied to claims 1, 2, 3 respectively above, and further in view of Marquet (US 4627766).

Bell et al. (once modified) discloses the invention substantially as claimed. However, the (once modified) Bell et al. lacks a lower plummet remotely actuated. Marquet teaches a lower plummet automatically actuated (W-4). It would have been considered obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to further modify the (once modified) Bell et al. to include the lower plummet as taught by Marquet since such a modification would allow for the containment boom to compensate for the tidal movement. With regards to the limitation of remotely actuating such plummet (anchor), it would have been considered obvious to do so as evidence by Strange and Lydiard et al. (US Pat 4290714, 5407301) wherein they teach it is known to remotely actuate anchoring means.

#### Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sunil Singh whose telephone number is (703) 308-4024. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:30 AM-5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather Shackelford can be reached on (703) 308-2978. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3673

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sunil Singh

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 3673

SS SS 4/30/2004